01		
02		
03		
04		
05		
06	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
07	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
08	JOHN ROBERT APPLEBY,) CASE NO. C08-1115-RSL	
09	Plaintiff,	
10	v.)	
11) REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION SNOHOMISH COUNTY DETENTION) FACILITY, et al.,)	
12	Defendants.	
13)	
14	This matter comes before the Court because plaintiff John Robert Appleby failed to notify	y
15	the Court of his current address. After reviewing the record, the Court recommends dismissing	3
16	this action and denying the in forma pauperis (IFP) application as moot.	
17	DISCUSSION	
18	On August 27, 2008, the Court delayed consideration of Mr. Appleby's IFP application	ί,
19	declined to serve his proposed § 1983 civil rights complaint, and granted him leave to amend the	e
20	complaint by September 26, 2008. (Dkt. 6.) On September 4, 2008, the postal service returned	t
21	the copy of the order that was sent to Mr. Appleby at his last known address. (Dkt. 7.) Loca	ıl
22	Rule CR 41(b)(2) states:	
	REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION PAGE -1	

01 A party proceeding pro se shall keep the court and opposing parties advised as to his current address. If mail directed to a pro se plaintiff by the clerk is returned by the 02 post office, and if such plaintiff fails to notify the court and opposing parties within sixty days thereafter of his current address, the court may dismiss the action without 03 prejudice for failure to prosecute. Thus, Mr. Appleby should have notified the court and opposing counsel of his current address by November 3, 2008. See also Local Rule W.D. Wash. CR 10(f) (requiring parties to notify the 06 court within ten days of a change of address). The Court is unaware of any other place of 07 residence or confinement for Mr. Appleby. Because Mr. Appleby failed to notify the Court of his 08 current address by the sixty-day deadline to do so, this action should be dismissed without 09 prejudice for failure to prosecute. 10 **CONCLUSION** 11 The Court recommends that this action be DISMISSED without prejudice for failure to prosecute pursuant to Local Rule CR 41(b)(2) and that the IFP application be DENIED as moot. 13 A proposed order accompanies this Report and Recommendation. 14 DATED this 4th day of November, 2008. 15 16 United States Magistrate Judge 17 18 19 20 21 22

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION PAGE -2